IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

SKIPPRINT, LLC, a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,

VS.

QUAD/GRAPHICS, INC., a Wisconsin corporation

Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

JOINT MOTION AND STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER IN VIEW OF SETTLEMENT IN PRINCIPLE

Case No. 14-CV-897

Judge Rudolph T. Randa

As our joint filing on October 26, 2015 explained, the parties have reached a settlement in principle on all pending claims in the above-captioned matter. The parties continue to work expeditiously towards finalizing and executing the settlement agreement. To provide the parties with sufficient time to finalize the language of the settlement, the parties hereby stipulate and jointly move this Court for an order to extend by thirty days all pending deadlines set forth in the Scheduling Order (Doc. 48) and this Court's orders amending the same dated August 13, 2015 (Doc. 54), September 25, 2015 (Doc. 58) and October 27, 2015 (Doc. 60). Good cause exists to extend the deadlines of the Schedule Order because, as outlined above, the additional time to continue finalizing the terms of the settlement will spare the Court and the parties the time and expense of adjudicating lengthy and highly-technical summary judgment papers. Accordingly, the parties agree that the proposed amendment of the Scheduling Order is justified under the circumstances and request that the Court issue an order adopting the following schedule:

Event	Scheduled Date	Proposed Date
Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment	December 2, 2015	January 5, 2016
of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§101		
and 112(b) ("MSJs")		
Plaintiff's Oppositions to MSJs	January 13, 2016	February 16, 2016

Defendant's Reply Memoranda in Support of	February 19, 2016	March 22, 2016
MSJs		
Hearing on MSJs	To be set by the Court	No change
Plaintiff's opening brief on claim	To be reset after	No change
construction.	ruling on MSJs	
Defendant's response brief on claim	To be reset after	No change
construction.	ruling on MSJs	
Plaintiff's reply brief on claim construction.1	To be reset after	No change
	ruling on MSJs	

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: December 2, 2015 MASCHOFF BRENNAN

By: <u>/s/ C.J. Veverka</u> C.J. Veverka, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff

DATED: December 2, 2015 ARNOLD AND PORTER, LLP

By: /s/ Ali R. Sharifahmadian
Ali R. Sharifahmadian

Attorney for Defendant